It is important that the voice of those Democrats who are seeking to do so be heard. I am somewhat disturbed that the House majority has failed to address the real serious questions of the economy. In the backdrop of a very high and moral decision of whether or not this Nation goes to war, we have lost all sight of those who are hurting.

Let me just give some points that are worth noting. Household income is down for the first time since 1991. This is not household income of those who can afford to throw away a few dollars, cut out one more midwinter trip away to the islands or to some European attractive vacation spot. This is the household income of those who are trying to make ends meet, trying to send young people to college, pay their mortgages, or, like in the State of Texas, trying to scurry around to find substitute insurance to the Farmers Insurance Company that has shut down in Texas, causing 700,000 families not to have home insurance. This is real. Mr. Speaker I have sent a letter to the Attorney General of the United States, and I am waiting for a response, for him to determine how he can be of assistance to those 700,000 families in Texas.

Poverty is up for the first time since 1993, affecting 1.3 million more families than last year; 1.8 million jobs have been lost, and unemployment is up 5.7 percent. Health care costs are soaring; and again we say to the senior citizens in our community, prescription drugs prices are five times the rate of inflation, but yet this body has not been able to pass a guaranteed Medicare prescription drug benefit. People are hurting.

□ 1800

The stock market has lost \$4.5 trillion of its value, more than was lost in the Hoover administration in that collapse. All of the history books will point to the stock market crash of 1928. We have surpassed that. The market just ended its worst quarter since the crash of 1987.

Thousands of employees have seen their retirement savings evaporate. 401(k) and other defined contribution plans lost \$210 billion. The index of leading economic indicators fell .2 percent this month, double the decline experts had expected. And a \$5.6 trillion surplus has become a \$2 trillion deficit.

We have work to do, Mr. Speaker, and we are not doing it. Thousands and thousands, I am exaggerating, of course, hundreds and hundreds, tens of tens of suspension bills going nowhere; but yet we are failing to address the pain and the hurt of those who are suffering from this economy. We have got to strengthen pensions by giving employees the same protections that executives get. We have got to allow those who are living with companies that are bankrupt, Mr. Speaker, to go into the bankruptcy court, pass a prescription drug benefit, protect Social Security, and provide jobs. I simply ask for this Congress to do its work.

GUAM'S POSITION IN LIGHT OF IRAQI SITUATION

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. TIBERI). Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Guam (Mr. UNDERWOOD) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. UNDERWOOD. Mr. Speaker, today as we look upon the world situation and we are confronting the possibility of a renewed struggle in the Middle East and trying to deal with all the challenges that we face as a result of the activities and actions of Saddam Hussein, it is important for me as the representative of Guam to inform the House about what the impact all of this may have on communities around the country, and particularly Guam.

We are on the precipice of a new struggle, and we will have some time to review and debate that particular resolution which may authorize military activities in that part of the world next week; but the military challenges that we face and the strategic challenges that we face, even though they affect the entire Nation, they do not affect all the communities around the country in the same way; and certainly we the people of Guam will feel the effects of this in many disproportionate ways.

Guam is known primarily as a strategic area, as a place from which we can triangulate armed conflict. It is a military base for the Navy and for the Air Force. There has been recent discussion about the placement of bomber squadrons there at Anderson Air Force Base, and new submarines are going to be home-ported in Guam. All of that is welcomed by the people of Guam because, indeed, we are patriotic Americans.

In fact, today I just got an e-mail from an Air Force captain asking me for some remarks in order that he might swear in an airman. Both of them are in Kabul. The airman is going to be reenlisted there in Afghanistan. Our people are disproportionately in high numbers in armed services. We support the military. But as we look upon what the effects of this struggle might be and even though it may lead to a bump-up in military activities in Guam, we are directly economically challenged by this because our economy is based primarily on tourism and 80 percent of our visitors come from Japan and nothing is more disconcerting to Japanese tourists than the prospect of war and conflict. If the situation which occurred in Guam immediately after the Gulf War crisis or immediately after September 11 last year again exists as a result of this armed conflict, we will see a dramatic downturn in tourism. A downturn in tourism is already in effect as a result of 9-11 and is already in effect simply because of the economic malaise that continues to obtain in Japan. But more so than that, if this armed conflict comes about, even the discussion of it will lead to a reduction in numbers.

Guam will stand ready to do its part. It did its part even in the evacuation of the Kurdish refugees in 1996 under Operation Pacific Haven. They were sent to Guam. When there was no overflight authority granted to conduct bombing raids on Iraq at a couple of times in the past few years, those bombers were prepositioned in Guam and then taken directly to Iraq.

But I point this out not because the people of Guam will not be in support, but because really the people of Guam deserve additional consideration should this series of economic downturns occur as a result of any conflict or even the discussion of conflict. Immediately after the 9-11 situation. there were a couple of proposals offered for economic recovery. In that effort, the House was not receptive to inclusion of the territories in that economic recovery package. While in the other body the economic recovery package was more receptive to the inclusion of Guam and other territories, that economic package never was successful.

Indeed, at the end of the day, the economic assistance that was given directly to the territories was minimal at best. But we have a new situation that we are confronted with and the people of Guam because of their long contributions to the strategic posture of the United States and because of their contributions not only in terms of their support for the military in Guam but their own participation in Guam I think should be treated with some regard. I think the people of Guam deserve to be treated according to their contribution to national security and national defense and simply not be utilized on the basis of its value from time to time.

And so as we take a look at the world situation today and as we will go over the details of the resolution, we must be mindful that this effect, the economic effects on communities will be disproportionate around the country, and we should be mindful of those so that when we construct some initiatives that we give each community its due.

FISCAL REVERSAL

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. Flake). Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 3, 2001, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SPRATT) is recognized for 60 minutes as the designee of the minority leader.

Mr. SPRATT. Mr. Speaker, I rise tonight along with my colleagues to address an issue of great importance which is receiving hardly any attention at all. It is about our fiscal reversal, about the tide of red ink that has overtaken our budget, about the resurgence of deficits that we thought after long, long years of trying we had finally laid to rest. Lost in the clutter, drowned by the drums of war, the deficit sinks deeper and deeper; and there is no apparent plan by this administration or this Congress to deal with the problem.

You can look at this chart here which shows graphically the deficit and